Subject No. 66.
Copyists for the Registration Department.

Mr. M. K. Krishna Murti Rao.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) There are no copyists at present in the Registration Department, and much delay is caused in obtaining copies of documents as the existing hands are overworked. This should be remedied by the appointment of copyists.

(*b*) Rates of transcription fee have not been fixed, and so in some offices excessive sums equal to the registration fee are levied.

Reply of Government.—The matter will be enquired into.

Subject No. 67.
The Arms Act:—Renewal of licenses and the collection of license fees.

Messrs. C. Srinivas Rao and M. Ramachendra Rao of Chikmagalur.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) Renewal of licenses for Arms every year by the District and Sub-Division Magistrates is attended with some difficulty owing to delay before the renewed licenses are received, which in some cases extends over six months. In the meantime guns are deposited in the Police Station. In malnad guns are absolutely necessary for protecting crops from wild animals. These licenses may therefore be granted once in ten years, recovering the usual fees to cover that period, and taluk Amildars may be vested with the powers of granting the licenses.

Messrs. Subbaraju of Bangalore and M. K. Krishna Murti Rao of Magadi.

(*b*) Respectable raiyats holding extensive land should be allowed to possess guns free of license.

Reply of Government.—The rules have already been modified so as to remedy the inconvenience complained of. See Government order No. 7880-915—J. 900, dated 11th January 1898.

Subject No. 68.
Selection of Munsiffs etc.

Mr. N. Srikantaiya of Mysore City Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—Competent lawyers may be selected for the post of Munsiffs, Amildars and Deputy Amildars.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

Subject No. 69.
Jurisdiction of Courts.

Mr. N. Srikantaiya of Mysore City Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—The jurisdictions of Munsiffs and Sub-Judges may be restored to their former limits, as their enhancement has caused inconvenience to parties. [Mr. Amble Annaiya Pandit opposed this proposal, adding that the enhancement of jurisdiction was sanctioned by Government at the request of the people.]

Reply of Government.—Not feasible.

Subject No. 70.
Civil Courts.

Mr. N. Srikantaiya of Mysore City Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—Certain Civil Courts in Mysore may be retransferred to the buildings where they had been formerly located.

Reply of Government.—This representation will be referred to the Chief Court.

Subject No. 71.
Sale of immovable property by Civil Courts.

Mr. Shamiah of Kankanhalli Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The present practice by which the immovable property of the judgment-debtor is sold by auction near the Court-house causes much loss to such debtor by the intended bidders not knowing the nature of the property. In modification of this practice, it is